

Interview Summary

Application No.

08/614,212

Applicant(s)

Corrado

Examiner

Vip Patel

Group Art Unit

2215

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Vip Patel

(3) _____

(2) Robert Schaap

(4) _____

Date of Interview Sep 3, 1997Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☐ was reached. ☐ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: 1, 15, and 19

Identification of prior art discussed:

Nishida et al

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:


Discussed limitations of ---disk shaped element having peripheral size which is equal to the peripheral size of the outer electrode end face so that the spark path is spaced apart from and outwardly of the insulator---. The applicant's representative was of opinion that Nishida et al did not disclose the above limitations. The examiner will reconsider the prior art of record upon the filing of an amendment. Independent claims 1, 15, and 19 amended to include the above limitations will be reconsidered.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.


PATENT EXAMINER 2215

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.